1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	David Mara, Esq. (230498) dmara@maralawfirm.com Jamie Serb, Esq. (289601) jserb@maralawfirm.com MARA LAW FIRM, PC 2650 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 205 San Diego, California 92108 Telephone: (619) 234-2833 Facsimile: (619) 234-4048 Matthew R. Bainer Bar No. 220972 THE BAINER LAW FIRM 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1100 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 922-1802 Facsimile: (510) 844-7701 mbainer@bainerlawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11		S DISTRICT COURT
12		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	NORTHERNOIST	MCI OF CALIFORNIA
14	KEVIN KRAMER on behalf of himself, all	Case No. 3:16-cy-07039-WHO
15	others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,	Consolidated with 3:17-cv-04009-JSC
16	Plaintiffs,	[Assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick]
17	v.	DECLARATION OF DAVID MARA IN
18	XPO LOGISTICS, INC.; and DOES 1 – 100,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR
19	Defendants.	ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
20	-	ENHANCEMENT AWARD
21	HECTOR IBANEZ on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the	Date: April 1, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m.
22	general public	Ctrm.: 2
23	Plaintiffs,	Action Filed: September 22, 2016
24	v.	Date Removed: December 8, 2016 Trial Date: December 3, 2018
25	XPO LAST MILE, INC.; and DOES 1 – 100,	This Document Relates To:
26	Defendants.	Kramer, 3:16-cv-07039-WHO Ibanez, 3:17-cv-04009-JSC
27		
28		

I, DAVID MARA, declare the following:

- I am President of Mara Law Firm, PC and counsel of record for Plaintiffs and the putative class
 in this matter. I am duly admitted to practice before all the courts of the state of California. The
 following facts are within my personal knowledge and, if called to testify, I could and would
 competently testify thereto.
- 2. I have been practicing law in California since 2004.
- 3. I extensively handle employment cases which involve violations of the California Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, such as wage and hour class actions and cases alleging violations of the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA").
- 4. I was co-class counsel in *Hohnbaum v. Brinker Restaurant Corp.*, San Diego Superior Court. Case No. GIC834348, which was the underlying case in the California Supreme Court's landmark decision in *Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Superior Court* (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1004, in which the California Supreme Court delineated the scope of employer obligations to provide and employee rights to receive, meal and rest periods under California law.
- 5. I wrote an Amicus brief on behalf of Consumer Attorneys Of California ("CAOC") in the recent decision by the California Supreme Court in *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.* (2016) 2 Cal.5th 257 (rest breaks must be duty-free and time spent being on call during rest breaks is not considered duty-free).
- 6. My firm also wrote an Amicus brief on behalf of CAOC in the recent decision by the California Supreme Court in *Williams v. Superior Court* (2017) 3 Cal. 5th 531 (PAGA and wage and hour class action).
- 7. My firm has been granted class certification in both state and federal courts.
- 8. I am also Plaintiff's counsel in a host of other class actions involving violations of California's wage and hour laws, many of which involve the transportation industry. For example, I have been and am involved as counsel for plaintiffs in the following sampling of class action cases involving wage and hour violations under California law: *Davis v. Apria Healthcare Group*

28

(Case No. 37-2015-00007743); Norona v. B&G Delivery System, Inc. (Case No. RG1577005); Perez v. City of San Diego (Case No. 37-2014-00016621); Cuellar-Ramirez v. US Foods, Inc. (Case No. RG15770766); Peron v. The Vons Companies, Inc. (Case No. 15-cv-01567-L-JMA); Hilderbrand v. LinkUs Enterprises, LLC (Case No. DR150155); Belton v. Pacific Pulmonary Services (Case No. CGC-15-547564); Medina v. Central Cal Transportation, Inc. (Case No. RG15770011); Eure v. Dotson v. Asbury Environmental Services (Case No. RG16842620); Spikes v. Bear Trucking, Inc. (Case No. 16CECG02389); Reynoso v. Benjamin's Transfer, Inc. (Case No. FCS048845); Montes v. Coram Specialty Infusion Services, Inc. (Case No. 37-2016-00028950-CU-OE-CTL); Rodriguez v. Delta Sierra Beverage, LLC (Case No. 34-2017-00206727); Clavel v. La Jolla Beach & Tennis Club, Inc. (Case No. 37-2017-00004802-CU-OE-CTL); Martin v. Sysco Central California, Inc. (Case No. 9000052).

9. To date, I have devoted 706 hours to this case. The following is a summary of my tasks and the activities I performed in this litigation: prepared for and traveled to San Francisco, Orange County, and Los Angeles to take depositions of Defendant's witnesses; traveled to, prepared for, and attended mediation in San Francisco and Toronto, Canada; draft mediation damage and exposure models; analyze documents produced by Defendant relating to its policies, paystructures, and time keeping; analyze and review contracts made between Defendant and contract carriers, as well as Defendant's contracts with its customers; analyze and review data produced by Defendant relating to contract carriers and the putative driver/helper class interviewing putative Class Members; research and investigation in California's ever evolving wage and hour laws, class certification requirements, and misclassification laws; review and discuss Plaintiffs' responses to discovery; numerous conferences with Plaintiff, co-counsel counsel in related matters and Defendant on numerous issues throughout the litigation mediation, and settlement; investigation into Defendant's pay-structures and policies—that is Defendant produced voluminous documents and information relating to the size and scope of the class within the Class Period; draft a living outline for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification; negotiate and review settlement agreement; review and edit preliminary approval

motion and draft declaration in support thereof; conferences with associates; discussions with settlement administrator regarding the class data; discussions with experts regarding TLOxp searches; review TLOxp search results and test for accuracy; discussions with class members regarding the class notice; review attorneys' fee motion and draft declaration in support thereof. It is anticipated that I will attend the final approval hearing; review and edit the final approval motion and draft a declaration in support thereof.

- 10. My hourly rate is \$700. Based on my hourly rate and the hours expended thus far (706), my fee is \$494,200.00, which was reasonable and necessary to the successful litigation of this matter.
- 11. Jamie Serb is an associate at the Mara Law Firm. Ms. Serb has been a member of the California Bar since 2013. She has gained extensive experience in wage and hour class litigation. Ms Serb co-drafted an amicus brief on behalf of CAOC in the recent decision by the California Supreme Court in Williams v. Superior Court (2017) 3 Cal. 5th 531 (PAGA and wage and hour class action). She has been substantially involved in all phases of this litigation. Ms. Serb was also substantially involved in the following sampling of wage and hour class action and PAGA cases, of which our firm is the attorney of record: Perez v. City of San Diego (Case No. 37-2014-00016621); Porras v. DBI Beverage, Inc. (Case No. 114CV266154); Hernandez v. Classic Distributing and Beverage Group, Inc. (Case No. BC615317); Huguez v. KKW Trucking, Inc. (Case No. 34-2016-00190517); Hilderbrand v. LinkUs Enterprises, LLC (Case No. DR150155); Parker v. Selland Auto Transport, Inc. (Case No. 3:15-cv-05635-ECM): Smith v. Werner Enterprises, Inc. (Case No. 8:150cv0287); Vega v. Advance Beverage Co., Inc. (Case No. BCV-16-100848); Zamudio v. Ameripride Services, Inc. (Case No. RG16809666); Henricks v. Antonini Freight Express, Inc. (Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2016-6999); Payton v. Atech Logistics, Inc. (Case No. SCV-258595); Mendoza v. Bi-Rite Food Service, Inc. (Case No. 17CIV02044); Austin v. Canteen Vending; Compass Group, USA, Inc. (Case No. RG16809670); Timothy v. Coastal Transport Co., Inc. (Case No. 37-2016-

26

00023458-CU-OE-CTL); Beach-Barrow v. The Hertz Corporation (Case No. RG17848833); Cruz v. Hertz Equipment Rental Corporation (Case No. 16-cv-03889); Caulfield v. ITS Logistics, LLC (Case No. 37-2016-00044111-CU-OE-CTL); Hobson v. Linde, LLC (Case No. CIVDS1613085); Clavel v. La Jolla Beach & Tennis Club, Inc. (Case No. 37-2017-00004802-CU-OE-CTL); Helton v. Pepsi-Cola Sales and Distribution, Inc. (Case No. 17-cv-1135); Randall v. Professional Auto Transport, Inc. (Case No. RG17847058); McConville v. Renzenberger, Inc. (Case No. 16-cv-00578); Thomas, et al. v. TransitAmerica Services, Inc. (Case No. 37-2014-00018867-CU-OE-CTL).

12. Ms. Serb devoted 612 hours to this case. The following is a summary of her tasks and activities performed in the litigation of this matter: meet and confer discussions with defense counsel numerous discussions with co-counsel and counsel in related matters; discussions with Plaintiff and Class Members re their experiences working with Defendant; draft, review, file discovery dispute letters; review and analyze voluminous documents produced by Defendant draft joint CMC statements, ex parte motions, and stipulations; review and analyze data produced by Defendant; prepare for, travel to, and attend/depose multiple depositions (of Defendant's witnesses and contract carriers) in Sacramento, Redding, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orange County, and Atlanta, Georgia; draft, and edit the settlement agreement, and the Notice to the Class; draft and re-draft preliminary approval motion and supporting papers attend the preliminary approval hearing; discussions with the settlement administrator regarding its duties, including the TLOxp search results; review and proof Notice Packet papers from the settlement administrator; discussions with Class Members regarding the Notice and settlement; discussions with defense counsel regarding the class data; review weekly status reports from the settlement administrator regarding Class participation; draft attorneys' fees motion and supporting documents. It is anticipated Ms. Serb will review and suggest edits to the Settlement Administrator's declaration re: administration; draft and edit final approval motion and supporting papers and attend the hearing thereon; conduct further

26

discussions with the settlement administrator, class members, co-counsel and defense counsel throughout the settlement and disbursement process.

- 13. Ms. Serb's hourly rate is \$500. Based on her hourly rate and the hours expended (612), her lodestar fee is \$306,000.00, which was reasonable and necessary to the successful litigation of this matter.
- 14. Tony Roberts is an associate at the Mara Law Firm. Mr. Roberts has been a member of the California Bar since 2017. He is also an adjunct professor of law at the University of San Diego School of Law. He has been substantially involved in all phases of this litigation. Mr. Roberts was also substantially involved in the following sampling of wage and hour class action and PAGA cases, of which our firm is the attorney of record: Perez v. City of San Diego (Case No. 37-2014-00016621); Hilderbrand v. LinkUs Enterprises, LLC (Case No. DR150155): Hernandez v. Classic Distributing and Beverage Group, Inc. (Case No. BC615317); DeCard v. LinkUs Enterprises, LLC (Case No. DR170706); Vega v. Advance Beverage Co., Inc. (Case No. BCV-16-100848); Zamudio v. Ameripride Services, Inc. (Case No. RG16809666); Payton v. Atech Logistics, Inc. (Case No. SCV-258595); Mendoza v. Bi-Rite Food Service, Inc. (Case No. 17CIV02044); Sanchez v. Exact Staff, Inc. (Case No. CIVDS1702554); Beach-Barrow v. The Hertz Corporation (Case No. RG17848833); Cruz v. Hertz Equipment Rental Corporation (Case No. 16-cv-03889); Hobson v. Linde, LLC (Case No. CIVDS1613085); Clavel v. La Jolla Beach & Tennis Club, Inc. (Case No. 37-2017-00004802-CU-OE-CTL); Helton v. Pepsi-Cold Sales and Distribution, Inc. (Case No. 17-cv-1135); Randall v. Professional Auto Transport Inc. (Case No. RG17847058); McConville v. Renzenberger, Inc. (Case No. 16-cv-00578) Thomas, et al. v. TransitAmerica Services, Inc. (Case No. 37-2014-00018867-CU-OE-CTL).
- 15. Mr. Roberts devoted 181 hours to this case. The following is a summary of his tasks and activities performed in the litigation of this matter: discussions with class members; draft stipulations; draft CMC statements; draft meet and confer correspondence; review and analyze thousands of documents; research, vet, and hire discovery expert; research and analyze various

26

- legal issues with respect to misclassification and certification. It is anticipated Mr. Roberts will also respond to class member inquiries re: status of settlement funds.
- 16. Mr. Robert's hourly rate is \$400. Based on his hourly rate and the hours expended (181), his lodestar fee is \$72,400.00, which was reasonable and necessary to the successful litigation of this matter.
- 17. Mr. Turley was a former partner of The Turley & Mara Law Firm through March 2019. Prior to leaving the firm (now called the Mara Law Firm), he devoted 167 hours to this case. The following is a summary of his tasks and activities performed in the litigation of this matter prefiling investigation; communicating with the class representative; interviewing and meeting with putative Class Members; research and investigation in California's ever evolving wage and hour laws regarding compensation, overtime, meal and rest periods, itemized wage statements, waiting time penalties, misclassification, and California's Unfair Competition Law; draft and file pleadings; prepare for, travel to, and attend mediation in San Francisco; conferences with associates.
- 18. Mr. Turley's hourly rate is \$850. Based on his hourly rate and the hours expended (167), his lodestar fee is \$146,125.00, which was reasonable and necessary to the successful litigation of this matter.
- 19. My firm's total lodestar amount so far is \$1,014,550, based on 1,666 hours of attorney time, all of which was reasonable and necessary to the successful litigation of this matter. This does not count the anticipated time it will take to travel to and attend the preliminary and final approval hearings. It also doesn't include anticipated time needed to draft the motion and supporting documents for final approval, as well as anticipated time spent talking with class members re: settlement status and the settlement administrator. This will likely add an additional 30 hours of attorney time.
- 20. In addition, my firm has incurred \$104,293.91 in costs to date, and is requesting reimbursement of these costs at final approval. This amount does not include the costs to be incurred traveling to the preliminary and final approval hearings.

- 21. The proposed settlement is the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations, has no obvious defects, does not improperly grant preferential treatment to the class representative or segments of the class and falls within the range of fair and reasonable settlements. I believe that this non-reversionary settlement is in the best interests of the class as fair, reasonable, and adequate. Therefore, I recommend approval of the settlement.
- 22. A true and correct copy of the Summary of Time and Costs for my firm is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**
- 23. <u>Settlement Administration</u>: Counsel requested bids from ILYM Group, Inc. (\$50,189.79), Simpluris, Inc. (\$63,635.00) and CPT Group, Inc. (\$42,000.00). As CPT Group, Inc.'s ("CPT") bid was lowest, the Parties agreed to use CPT. This is an all-in settlement that does not require the class members to return claims forms to receive their settlement checks. However, class members for whom the CPT does not have a taxpayer identification number will be required to return a W9 Form with that information prior to disbursement. The class notice that CPT will mail via U.S. mail provides the contact information for all counsel in this matter, CPT's toll-free phone number for class member inquiries, as well as a website that will provide copies of the settlement documents, approval motions, and class notice for class member's review.
- 24. My firm has used CPT many times for the administration of class action settlements and has been pleased with their services. Over the past two years, CPT has been appointed as settlement administrator in the following class action settlements for my firm: Caufield, et al. v. ITS Logistics, LLC (San Diego County, 37-2016-00044111); Seip v. Hi Way Safety Rentals, Inc., et al (San Bernardino County, CIVDS1709710); Scott v. Transdev Services, Inc. (ND Cal., 3:17-cv-03826); Helton v. Pepsi Cola Sales and Distribution, Inc., et al (ND Cal., 3:17-cv-001135); Clavel v. La Jolla Beach & Tennis Club (San Diego County, 37-2017-00004802). Hilderbrand v. LinkUs Enterprises, Inc. (Humboldt County, DR150155 and DR170706): Hernandez v. Classic Distributing and Beverage Group, Inc. (Los Angeles County, BC615317); Beach-Barrow v. The Hertz Corporation (Alameda County, RG17848833): Davidson v. Herc Rentals, Inc. (Sacramento County, 34-2017-00219832); Hobson v. Linde, LLC (San Bernardino County, CIVDS1613085); Lua, et al v. DDG Transport, Inc., et al

(Tulare County, VCU266342); Perez v. City of San Diego (San Diego County, 37-2014) 00016621); Thomas, et al v. TransitAmerica Services, Inc. (San Diego County, 37-2014) 00018867); Scott, et al v. Coastal Transport Co. Inc. (San Diego County 37-2016-00020248) Zamudio v. AmeriPride Services, Inc. (Alameda County, RG16809666); Parker v. Selland Auto Transport, Inc. (Alameda County, RG15791932); Padilla v. California Gas Transport, Inc. (San Diego County, 37-2016-00012433); Romero v. Compass Group USA, Inc. (San Bernardino County, CIVDS1512026); Henricks v. Antonini Freight Express (San Joaquin County, STK-CV-UOE-2016-6999); McLain v. Tiger Lines, LLC (San Joaquin County, STK-CV-UOE-2016-0012987); Huguez, et al v. KKW Trucking, Inc. (Sacramento County, 34-2016-00190517); Tugas v. Hill-Rom Company, Inc. (ED Cal., 15-cv-02426); Villamar v. Hansen & Adkins Auto Transport, Inc. (San Diego County, 37-2015-00003182); Davis v. Aprid Healthcare Group, Inc. (San Diego County, 37-2014-00004724); Thompson v. Costco Wholesale Corporation (SD Cal., 14-cv-2778); Houston v. Big 5 Sporting Goods Corporation (Los Angeles County, BC644923); Zubia, et al v. Shamrock Foods Company (CD Cal., 16-cv-03128); Little v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (SD Cal., 16-cv-01084); Rodriguez v. Delta Sierra Beverage, LLC (Sacramento County, 34-2017-00206727); Hunt v. VEP Healthcare, Inc. (ND Cal., 16-cv-04790); Roby v. Pan Pacific Petroleum, Inc. (Kern County, BCV-16-101856) Cuellar-Ramirez v. U.S. Foods, Inc. (Alameda County, RG15770766); Smith v. Roadrunner Management Services, Inc. (Los Angeles County, BC630949); and Eure v. Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc. (ED Cal., 16-ev-00324).

- 25. <u>Past Distributions</u>: Below are a sampling of some of my firm's past comparable class settlements (i.e. settlements involving the same or similar clients, claims, and/or issues):
 - a. Helton v. Pepsi Cola Sales and Distribution, Inc., et al (ND Cal., 3:17-cv-001135-EMC)
 - <u>Claims</u>: Wage and hour class, PAGA, and FLSA collective action.
 - <u>Total Settlement</u>: \$5,000,000.00 total settlement fund. Because this was a combined class/FLSA settlement, the payout fund (i.e. the money leftover after deduction of attorneys' fees, costs, LWDA payment, settlement administration fees, and plaintiff's general release payment) was divided into two separate funds 80% as the state law fund and 20% as the federal law fund. The FLSA collective action members were required to submit a claim form to claim money

24

25

26

27

from the federal law fund. All participating class members were automatically paid from the state law fund.

- Attorneys' Fees and Costs: My firm was awarded 25% of the common fund \$1,250,000.00 – in fees and \$42,716.30 in litigation costs.
- Administration Costs: CPT charged \$28,735.12 to administer this settlement.
- Notice: The Class Notice was sent to all class members, totaling 1,480 truck driver class members. There was one undeliverable notice packet, three optouts, and no objections.
- <u>FLSA Claim Rate</u>: 1,039 class members returned FLSA claim forms, claiming 86% of the funds allotted for the FLSA settlement; the class/PAGA settlement did not require use of claim forms and automatically paid out to participating class members.
- <u>High/Average Payments</u>: The highest FLSA payment to class members was \$1,053.26 and the highest class award was \$4,213.06. The average FLSA payment to class members was \$590.76 and the average class award was \$1,938.59.
- Cy Pres: At least \$101,705.41, the amount remaining unclaimed from the FLSA funds, will cy pres to the United Way. This amount may increase if some class members do not cash their settlement checks. As of the date of this filing, those checks have not yet expired.

b. Romero v. Compass Group USA, Inc. (San Bernardino County, CIVDS1512026).

- Claims: Wage and hour class and PAGA action.
- <u>Total Settlement</u>: \$4,000,000.00 total settlement fund. Class members automatically received their settlement shares and no claim forms were required.
- Attorneys' Fees: The Court awarded \$1,333,333.00 (1/3 of the gross settlement amount) in attorneys' fees and \$32,586.48 in litigation costs.
- Administration Costs: CPT charged \$23,000.00 to administer this settlement.
- <u>Notice</u>: The class notice was sent to all 1,532 class members. There were 18 undeliverable notices, no opt-outs and no objections.
- <u>High/Average Payments</u>: The highest payment was \$16,756.07 and the average payment to class members was \$1,635.88.
- Cy Pres: No cy pres all uncashed check funds were sent to the State of California's Department of Industrial Relations' Unclaimed Wage Fund earmarked for each class member who did not cash his or her check to claim at a future date.

c. Lo Cascio v. Hertz Local Edition, et al (San Diego County, 37-2015-000020830).

- <u>Claims</u>: Wage and hour class and PAGA action.
- <u>Total Settlement</u>: \$4,800,000.00 total settlement fund. Class members automatically received their settlement shares and no claim forms were required.
- <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>: The Court awarded \$1,598,400.00 (33.3% of the gross settlement amount) in attorneys' fees and \$51,084.82 in litigation costs.
- <u>Administration Costs</u>: Simpluris, Inc. charged \$48,499.00 to administer this settlement.

24

25

26

27

• <u>Notice</u>: The class notice was sent to all 3,287 class members. There were 21 undeliverable notices, no opt-outs, and no objections.

- <u>High/Average Payments</u>: The highest settlement award was \$4,626.61 and the average settlement award was \$902.98.
- <u>Cy Pres</u>: No cy pres all uncashed check funds were sent to the State of California's Department of Industrial Relations' Unclaimed Wage Fund, earmarked for each class member who did not cash his or her check to claim at a future date.

d. Little v. Gate Gourmet, Inc. (SD Cal., 16-cv-01084-L-AGS).

- <u>Claims</u>: Wage and hour class, PAGA, and collective action.
- <u>Total Settlement</u>: \$4,500,000.00 total settlement fund. Class members automatically received their settlement shares and no claim forms were required.
- Attorneys' Fees: The Court awarded \$1,125,000.00 (25% of the gross settlement fund) in attorneys' fees and \$31,593.05 in litigation costs.
- Administration Costs: CPT charged \$49,000.00 to administer this settlement.
- <u>Notice</u>: The class notice was sent to all 8,469 class members. There were 95 undeliverable notices, two opt-outs, and no objections.
- <u>High/Average Payments</u>: The highest settlement award was \$1,616.03 and the average settlement award was \$384.07.
- <u>Cy Pres</u>: No cy pres all uncashed check funds were sent to the State of California's Department of Industrial Relations' Unclaimed Wage Fund, earmarked for each class member who did not cash his or her check to claim at a future date.

e. Porras v. DBI Beverage, Inc. (Santa Clara County, 1-14-CV-266154).

- Claims: Wage and hour class action.
- <u>Total Settlement</u>: \$6,500,000.00 total settlement fund. Class members automatically received their settlement shares and no claim forms were required.
- Attorneys' Fees: The Court awarded \$1,975,325.80 (approx. 30% of the gross settlement amount) in attorneys' fees and \$82,061.12 in litigation costs.
- <u>Administration Costs</u>: CPT charged \$26,000.00 to administer this settlement.
- <u>Notice</u>: The class notice was sent to all 1,674 truck driver class members. There were 30 undeliverable notices, two opt-outs, and no objections.
- <u>High/Average Payments</u>: The highest settlement award was \$13,954.43 and the average settlement award was \$2,372.51.
- Cy Pres: No cy pres all uncashed check funds were sent to the State of California's Unclaimed Property Division, earmarked for each class member who did not cash his or her check to claim at a future date.

Case	Total	Class	Atty Fees	Atty Costs	Admin Costs	Avg.
		Size				Payment
Helton	\$5,000,000	1,480	\$1,250,000.00	\$42,716.30	\$28,735.12	\$2,529.35
Romero	\$4,000,000	1,532	\$1,333,333.00	\$32,586.48	\$23,000.00	\$1,635.88
Cascio	\$4,800,000	3,287	\$1,598,400.00	\$51,084.82	\$48,499.00	\$ 902.98

	Cusc S.	10 00 01000	WITO DO	ocument 33-1 Th	IICU 01/21/20	1 age 12 01	20						
1	Little	\$4,500,000	8,469	\$1,125,000.00	\$31,593.05	\$49,000.00	\$ 384.07						
	Porras	\$6,500,000	1,674	\$1,975,325.80	\$82,061.12	\$26,000.00	\$2,372.51						
2													
3	26. All	26. All attorney services were performed by Class Counsel on a contingent basis.											
4	27. In C	27. In Class Counsel's experience, the typical enhancement award in wage and hour cases ranges											
5	fror	n \$5,000 to \$7	5,000, altho	ough some awards	may be highe	r.							
6													
7	I de	eclare under po	enalty of p	erjury under the la	aws of the Ur	nited States of	America that th						
8	foregoing is	s true and corr	ect.										
9													
10	Dated: Janu	uary 27, 2020		<u>/s/</u>	David Mara								
11				David Ma	ra, Esq.								
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													
21													
22													
23													
24													
25													
26													
27	1			12									
ചരി													

Case 3:16-cv-07039-WHO Document 99-1 Filed 01/27/20 Page 12 of 20

EXHIBIT 1

Case 3:16-cv-07039-WHO Document 99-1 Filed 01/27/20 Page 14 of 20 **SUMMARY OF MARA LAW FIRM TIME AND COSTS**

MLF Total Hours (to date):

1666

MLF Total Lodestar (to date):

1,014,550.00

MLF Total Costs (to date):

\$ 104,293.91

As of the date of filing: 1/27/2020

FIRM/ATTORNEYS	YEAR ADMITTED	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL	
Mara Law Firm, PC					
David Mara	2004 (16)	706.00	\$ 700.00	\$	494,200.00
Jamie Serb	2013 (7)	612.00	\$ 500.00	\$	306,000.00
Tony Roberts	2017 (3)	181.00	\$ 400.00) \$	72,400.00
William Turley (former partner)	1986 (32)	167.00	\$ 850.00	\$	141,950.00
Mara Law Firm, Po	1666.00		\$	1,014,550.00	

^{*}This time does not count future tasks

LITIGATION EXPENSES		
Mara Law Firm, PC	\$	104,293.91
TOTAL	: \$	104,293.91

The above mentioned time and cost totals do not include anticipated attorney time to take the Action through the final approval process, attend final approval and supplemental hearings, provide any necessary supplemental adminsitrator declarations, work with defense counsel and settlement adminstrator re: funding, distribution, tax forms, transmittal process, answer class member questions, prepare post-distribution filings, etc., which will likely include an additional 15+ hours of attorney time

> Case No. 16-cv-07039-WHO Consolidated with 17-cv-04009-WHO

Case 3:16-cv-07039-WHO Document 99-1 Filed 01/27/20 Page 15 of 20 **SUMMARY OF MARA LAW FIRM**

SUMMARY OF MARA LAW FIRM TIME AND COSTS

MLF Total Hours (to date):

1666

MLF Total Lodestar (to date):

1,014,550.00

MLF Total Costs (to date):

\$

\$

104,293.91

As of the date of filing: 1/27/2020

FIRM/ATTORNEYS	YEAR ADMITTED	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL	
Mara Law Firm, PC					
David Mara	2004 (16)	706.00	\$ 700.00	\$	494,200.00
Jamie Serb	2013 (7)	612.00	\$ 500.00	\$	306,000.00
Tony Roberts	2017 (3)	181.00	\$ 400.00	\$	72,400.00
William Turley (former partner)	1986 (32)	167.00	\$ 850.00	\$	141,950.00
Mara Law Firm, PC	1666.00		\$	1,014,550.00	

^{*}This time does not count future tasks

LITIGATION EXPENSES		
Mara Law Firm, PC	\$	104,293.91
	TOTAL: \$	104,293.91

Case No. 16-cv-07039-WHO Consolidated with 17-cv-04009-WHO

<u>Task 1</u>: Client Intakes / Client Communications / Pre-Filing Investigation / Class Member Communications

Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee	
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	31.00	\$	21,700.00
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	42.00	\$	21,000.00
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00	16.00	\$	6,400.00
W. Turley (former)	\$	850.00	49.00	\$	41,650.00
Fee Request fo	138.00	\$	90,750.00		

Task 2: Legal research/ legal theory development								
Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee				
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	46.00	\$	32,200.00			
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	61.00	\$	30,500.00			
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00	22.00	\$	8,800.00			
W. Turley (former)	\$	850.00	54.00	\$	45,900.00			
Fee Request fo	183.00	\$	117,400.00					

Task 3: Preparing evidence/ case strategy								
Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee				
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	48.00	\$	33,600.00			
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	39.00	\$	19,500.00			
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00	12.00	\$	4,800.00			
W. Turley (former)	\$	850.00	36.00	\$	30,600.00			
Fee Request fo	135.00	\$	88,500.00					

<u>Task 4</u> : Pleadings								
Attorney	Rate	:	Hours		Fee			
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00		7.00	\$	4,900.00		
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00		1.00	\$	500.00		
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00		9.00	\$	3,600.00		
W. Turley (former)	\$	850.00		2.00	\$	1,700.00		
Fee Request fo		19.00	\$	10,700.00				

<u>Task 5</u> : Communications with co-counsel/ defense counsel/ experts									
Attorney	Rate)	Hours		Fee				
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	20	.00	\$	14,000.00			
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	12	.00	\$	6,000.00			
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00	6	.00	\$	2,400.00			
Fee Request fo	38	.00	\$	22,400.00					

<u>Task 6</u> : Status/Case Management & Scheduling Conference statements and hearings/Requests for Continuances						
Attorney	Rate		Hours		Fee	
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00		17.00	\$	11,900.00
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00		8.00	\$	4,000.00
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00		12.00	\$	4,800.00
Fee Request fo	or Task	6:		37.00	\$	20,700.00

<u>Task 7</u> : Written discovery, meet & confers, depositions, document and data analysis							
Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee			
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	407.00	\$	284,900.00		
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	343.00	\$	171,500.00		
T. Roberts (Assoc.)	\$	400.00	104.00	\$	41,600.00		
Fee Request fo	854.00	\$	498,000.00				

<u>Task 8</u> : Mediation preparation, damage models, attend mediation						
Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee		
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	96.00	\$	67,200.00	
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	14.00	\$	7,000.00	
W. Turley (former)	\$	850.00	26.00	\$	22,100.00	
Fee Request fo	r Task	8:	136.00	\$	96,300.00	

<u>Task 9</u> : Settlement agreement and class notice negotiations, review, revisions, issues						
Attorney	Rate		Hours	Fee		
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	5.00	\$	3 <i>,</i> 500.00	
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	34.00	\$	17,000.00	
Fee Request fo	39.00	\$	20,500.00			

	171022
_	
	Task 10: Motion for preliminary approval, or
	ovhihite

Task 10: Motion for preliminary approval, declarations and							
exhibits							
Attorney	Ηοι	ırly Rate	Hours		Fee		
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00		13.00	\$	9,100.00	
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00		24.00	\$	12,000.00	
Fee Request fo		37.00	\$	21,100.00			

<u>Task 11</u> : Settlement administration issues following class mailings						
Attorney	Hou	ırly Rate	Hours		Fee	
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00		6.00	\$	4,200.00
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00		14.00	\$	7,000.00
Fee Request for Task 11:				20.00	\$	11,200.00

(Future) Task 12: Motion for final approval and attorneys' fees, costs, PAGA payment, settlement adminsistration costs, and Plaintiffs' general release payments						
Attorney	Ho	urly Rate	Hours	Fee		
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	5.00	\$	3,500.00	
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	10.00	\$	5,000.00	
Anticipated Fee Request for Task 12:			15.00	\$	8,500.00	

(Future) Task 13 communications,	-	_	•		
Attorney	Hou	ıriy Rate	Hours	Fee	
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	5.00	\$	3,500.00
J. Serb (Assoc.)	\$	500.00	10.00	\$	5,000.00
Anticipated Fee Request for Task 13:			15.00	\$	8,500.00

Mara Law Firm, PC

Attorney	Hou	rly Rate	Hours	by Task*	Fee	
			Task 1	31.00		
			Task 2	46.00		
			Task 3	48.00		
			Task 4	7.00		
			Task 5	20.00		
			Task 6	17.00		
D. Mara (Partner)	\$	700.00	Task 7	407.00		
			Task 8	96.00		
			Task 9	5.00		
			Task 10	13.00		
			Task 11 (future)	6.00		
			Task 12 (future)	5.00		
			Task 13 (future)	5.00	\$	494,200.00
			Task 1	42.00		
			Task 2	61.00		
			Task 3	39.00		
			Task 4	1.00		
	\$		Task 5	12.00		
			Task 6	8.00		
J. Serb (Associate)		500.00	Task 7	343.00		
			Task 8	14.00		
			Task 9	34.00		
·			Task 10	24.00		
			Task 11 (future)	14.00		
			Task 12 (future)	10.00		
			Task 13 (future)	10.00	\$	306,000.00
			Task 1	16.00		
			Task 2	22.00		
			Task 3	12.00		
T. Roberts (Associate)	\$	400.00	Task 4	9.00		
			Task 5	6.00		
			Task 6	12.00		
	<u> </u>		Task 7	104.00	\$	72,400.00
W Turley/Former			Task 1	49.00		
Partner of Turley &	W. Turley (Former Partner of Turley & \$	850.00	Task 2	54.00		
Mara Law Firm)	,	00,00	Task 3	36.00		
			Task 4	2.00		
			Task 8	26.00	\$	141,950.00
	Tot	al:		1666.00	\$	1,014,550.00

^{*}Tasks are defined in Table 1; Future tasks 11-13 are not included in totals.

Kramer/Ibanez vs. XPO LM Costs Summary

TOTAL COSTS	\$ 104,293.91
Travel:	\$ 27,133.34
Experts:	\$ 25,200.00
Court Reporters:	\$ 36,762.40
Postage:	\$ 1,020.43
Legal & Factual Investigation	\$ 3,062.50
Mediation:	\$ 7,500.00
Court Fees:	\$ 2,216.41
Attorney Service:	\$ 1,398.83